

1 Linda M. Dardarian (SBN 131001)
ldardarian@gbdhlegal.com
2 Andrew P. Lee (SBN 245903)
alee@gbdhlegal.com
3 Megan E. Ryan (SBN 264922)
mryan@gbdhlegal.com
4 William C. Jhaveri-Weeks (SBN 289984)
wjhaveriweeks@gbdhlegal.com
5 GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO
300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 1000
6 Oakland, CA 94612
Tel: (510) 763-9800
7 Fax: (510) 835-1417

8 Michael R. Lozeau (SBN 142893)
michael@lozeaudrury.com
9 Richard T. Drury (SBN 163559)
richard@lozeaudrury.com
10 LOZEAU | DRURY LLP
410 12th Street, Suite 250
11 Oakland, CA 94607
Tel: (510) 836-4200
12 Fax: (510) 836-4205

13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs in *Balero v. Lumber Liquidators, Inc.*

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

16
17 JOSEPH MICHAEL BALERO, MICHAEL
BALLERINI, AND LISA MILLER, on their
18 own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

19 Plaintiffs,
20 vs.

21 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
corporation, and DOES ONE through TEN
22 inclusive,

23 Defendants.

24 Case No.: 3:15-cv-01005-JST

25 **[PROPOSED] INTERIM ORDER RE
PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE**

26 Dept: Courtroom 9, 19th Floor

27 Hon. Jon S. Tigar

FILED
MAY - 8 2015
RICHARD W. WICKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

1 MARIA CARMEN SMITH, on behalf of herself
2 and all others similarly situated,

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01163-JST

3 Plaintiff,

4 vs.

5 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
6 Corporation, and LUMBER LIQUIDATORS
7 HOLDINGS, INC. and DOES ONE through TEN
inclusive,

8 Defendants.

9 RUSSELL A. EZOVSKI, DEVONNE
10 BOWLING, and ROBERT SMITH, individually
11 and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 vs.

14 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., LUMBER
LIQUIDATORS HOLDINGS, INC., LUMBER
LIQUIDATORS LEASING, LLC, and LUMBER
LIQUIDATORS SERVICES, LLC,

15 Defendants.

16 SHELLY CONTE, MARK REYES, DANIEL
17 TACKTILL, on behalf of themselves, and all
others similarly situated and the general public,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 vs.

20 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
Corporation LUMBER LIQUIDATORS
21 HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

22 Defendants.

23 MARIA and ROMUALDO RONQUILLO wife
and husband; ADRIANA and MARK SCOTTI,
wife and husband; MONTY EARL, on behalf of
24 themselves and all others similarly situated,

25 Plaintiffs,

26 vs.

27 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
corporation,

28 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01074-JST

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01012-JST

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01209-JST

1 LIN DOSS, DEBORAH SAMPSON, DOUGLAS
2 SAMPSON, SUSAN MCNUTT, DEREK
3 BANKA, TABITHA MCHUGH, and GENEVA
4 WARE, individually and on behalf of all others
5 similarly situated,

6 Plaintiffs,
7 vs.

8 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., LUMBER
9 LIQUIDATORS HOLDINGS, INC., LUMBER
10 LIQUIDATORS LEASING, LLC, and LUMBER
11 LIQUIDATORS SERVICES, LLC,

12 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01225-JST

13 PATTY IRVING, an individual, and STACY
14 HAHLEN, an individual, on behalf of themselves
15 and all others similarly situated,

16 Plaintiffs,
17 vs.

18 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
19 corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,

20 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01235-JST

21 JOSEPH A. DEL BRACCIO, individually and on
22 behalf of all others similarly situated,

23 Plaintiff,
24 vs.

25 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
26 corporation,

27 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01249-JST

28 SALIL PRASAD, on behalf of himself and all
others similarly situated,

29 Plaintiff,
30 vs.

31 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
32 Corporation, LUMBER LIQUIDATORS
33 LEASING, LLC, a Delaware Corporation,
34 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS HOLDINGS, INC.,
35 a Delaware Corporation, LUMBER
36 LIQUIDATORS SERVICES, LLC, a Delaware
37 Limited Liability Company,

38 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01315-JST

1 KAREN PESCE, individually and on behalf of all
2 others similarly situated,

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01321-JST

3 Plaintiff,

4 vs.

5 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
corporation,

6 Defendants.

7 JOSHUA BERG, ELIZABETH DAVIS-BERG,
8 JUDY HAPPE HUMES, SHERRY KISIELIUS,
9 THERESA LABREE, BRANDON MCDONALD,
SHARI ORLAND, CARRIE SCHAFER, CINDY
TERRAZAS, and MICHAEL ZEBLEY,
10 individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01359-JST

11 Plaintiffs,

12 vs.

13 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
corporation and LUMBER LIQUIDATORS
14 HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

15 Defendants.

16 BRUCE GUEST, on behalf of himself and all
17 others similarly situated,

Case No.: 3:15-cv-01363-JST

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC.; LUMBER
LIQUIDATORS LEASING, LLC; LUMBER
21 LIQUIDATORS HOLDINGS, INC.; and
LUMBER LIQUIDATORS SERVICES, LLC,

22 Defendants.

23 WILBUR JEFFRIES,

Case No. 15-cv-01490-JST

24 Plaintiff,

25 vs.

26 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, HOLDINGS, INC.
ET AL.,

28 Defendants.

1 JAMES SILVERTHORN, individually and on
2 behalf of all others similarly situated,

3 Plaintiff,

4 vs.

5 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., LUMBER
6 LIQUIDATORS LEASING, LLC, LUMBER
7 LIQUIDATORS HOLDINGS, INC., LUMBER
8 LIQUIDATORS SERVICES, LLC

9 Defendants.

Case No. 4:15-cv-01428-JST

10 PETER PICARD and SHERRY LIGGINS,
11 individually and on behalf of all others similarly
12 situated,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

15 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., LUMBER
16 LIQUIDATORS HOLDINGS, INC., LUMBER
17 LIQUIDATORS LEASING, LLC, LUMBER
18 LIQUIDATORS SERVICES, LLC

19 Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-cv-01460-JST

20 LILA WASHINGTON, LAURA
21 WASHINGTON, RYAN and KRISTIN
22 BRANDT, KENNETH and CASANDRA
23 BARRETT, on behalf of themselves and all others
24 similarly situated,

25 Plaintiffs,

26 vs.

27 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
28 corporation,

29 Defendant.

Case No. 5:15-cv-01475-JST

30 ERIC HALL, individually and on behalf of all
31 others similarly situated,

32 Plaintiffs,

33 vs.

34 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., LUMBER
35 LIQUIDATORS HOLDINGS, INC., LUMBER
36 LIQUIDATORS LEASING, LLC, LUMBER
37 LIQUIDATORS SERVICES, LLC, and
38 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS PRODUCTION,
39 LLC,

40 Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-cv-01558-JST

1 VALERIE CHAVEZ, ANTHONY CHAVEZ,
2 JEWELIA CHAVEZ, by and through her
Guardian ad Litem, Valerie Chavez, individually
and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

3 Plaintiffs,
4 vs.

5 LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Delaware
corporation, and DOES ONE through TEN,
6 inclusive,

7 Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-cv-01887-JST

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Plaintiffs in the above cases have submitted a proposed Interim Order for Preservation of
2 Evidence to preserve evidence relevant to these related actions, which the Court now adopts as set
3 forth herein (the "Order"). Plaintiffs and Defendant Lumber Liquidators, Inc. (collectively, the
4 "Parties") have discussed their preservation obligations and needs and have agreed that preservation of
5 potentially relevant evidence, including electronically stored information (ESI), will be reasonable and
6 proportionate. This Order relates to document preservation including ESI, and samples as discussed
7 herein and does not address the relevancy, discoverability, or admissibility of any evidence. Lumber
8 Liquidators has not waived its position that these related cases should be transferred to the Eastern
9 District of Virginia and that the terms of this Order may have to be revised in the event that the more
10 than 90 cases now pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation are centralized and
11 transferred to a single district and judge. The Parties will continue to meet and confer as the case
12 progresses and the Parties learn more about the scope of discovery or the nature of Lumber
13 Liquidators' document storage systems, and any Party shall be free to seek amendment of this Interim
14 Order.

15 One purpose of the present Order is to maintain the status quo until such time as the Judicial
16 Panel on Multidistrict Litigation either selects a transferee court or denies the pending motion to
17 coordinate. This Court, or a transferee court, may hereafter modify any term of this Order, including
18 the terms regarding the temporal or subject matter scope of Lumber Liquidators' or its agents'
19 obligation to maintain documents or information. Also, the terms of this Order regarding temporal and
20 subject matter scope are intended to govern evidence preservation only, and do not constitute findings
21 by the Court regarding any party or entity's obligation to produce documents in response to a future
22 discovery request in this proceeding.

23 To reduce the costs and burdens of preservation and to ensure proper evidence is preserved, the
24 Parties are ordered:

25 1. To preserve all documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things¹ that

27 _____
28 ¹ As defined by Fed. R. Civ. P. 34.

1 contain information relevant to the related actions including information relating to Lumber
2 Liquidators' indoor air quality testing program, such as protocols, communications, customer service
3 logs and recordings, and test results. This Order incorporates by reference the preservation duties set
4 forth in Section 40.25 ¶ 3 of the Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth.

5 2. That the relevant time period for these actions for preservation purposes only is, as a
6 general matter, January 1, 2009 through the present day. The Parties will preserve documents that are
7 relevant to activities implicated by these related actions during that time period. To the extent that
8 relevant documents pre-dating that period exist, the Parties are obligated to identify and preserve those
9 documents.

10 3. That documents and ESI will be preserved for the following types of custodians:

11 a. Named plaintiffs.

12 b. Lumber Liquidators' employees or agents who are likely to have relevant
13 information, such as marketing personnel, regulatory compliance personnel, scientific or engineering
14 personnel, sales and finance personnel, and customer service or warranty personnel.

15 c. Manufacturing facilities, distributors, shippers, or any other party involved in
16 the manufacturing, testing (for formaldehyde emissions), or shipping of the laminated flooring at issue
17 to the extent said documents or ESI are within the possession, custody or control of Lumber
18 Liquidators. To the extent that Lumber Liquidators has control over such entities and can require them
19 to preserve said evidence, Lumber Liquidators is hereby ordered to do so, and shall be responsible for
20 their compliance. In addition, to the extent that such entities are not within its control, Lumber
21 Liquidators agrees to promptly request preservation of said documents or ESI. Within seven days of
22 entry of this Order, Lumber Liquidators shall provide to all third parties likely to possess relevant
23 evidence, whether they are under Lumber Liquidators' control or not, a written communication
24 containing the language set forth in Exhibit A hereto. For entities located in China, Lumber
25 Liquidators shall provide the Notice in English and Chinese.

26 4. To preserve the flooring at issue as follows:

27 a. Lumber Liquidators will preserve and not destroy until plaintiffs' experts have
28 had a reasonable opportunity to inspect or test, any Chinese-made laminated flooring that is the subject

1 of any warranty claim against Lumber Liquidators. This does not mean that Lumber Liquidators may
2 not sell its products.

b. Lumber Liquidators will preserve and not destroy until plaintiffs' experts have had a reasonable opportunity to inspect or test, any exemplars or samples that were provided to Lumber Liquidators by any Chinese manufacturer of the laminated flooring at issue.

6 c. Lumber Liquidators will preserve and not destroy until plaintiffs' experts have
7 had a reasonable opportunity to inspect or test, any laminated flooring manufactured in China that is
8 currently warehoused or stored by Lumber Liquidators. This does not mean that Lumber Liquidators
9 may not sell its products.

10 d. From the date that the Court signs this Order, named plaintiffs will not remove
11 or replace their Lumber Liquidators' flooring without preserving samples of the flooring that they have
12 removed or replaced.

13 e. The parties shall meet and confer regarding an acceptable protocol on the
14 storage of any preserved samples or flooring.

15 5. The parties recognize that some data sources may not be reasonably accessible because
16 of undue burden or cost pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B) and ESI from these sources will be
17 preserved, but not searched, reviewed, or produced. Lumber Liquidators will have the burden to
18 establish a data source as not reasonably accessible. The Parties will meet and confer at a later date to
19 discuss whether such data sources exist. The plaintiffs do not waive any right to dispute the
20 inaccessibility of the data or to argue that no cost shifting should occur even if the data is deemed to be
21 not reasonably accessible.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 8, 2015

Honorable Jon S. Tigan

1 EXHIBIT A
23 Notice Re: Need to Preserve Evidence Relevant to Formaldehyde Use/Testing in Lumber
4 Liquidators' Laminate Flooring Products Originating in China
5

6 On [date], Lumber Liquidators, Inc. (“Lumber Liquidators”) was ordered by a judge of the
 7 United States District Court for the Northern District of California to **preserve evidence of any kind**
 8 (**including electronic documents, such as e-mails**) that may be relevant to lawsuits pending against
 9 Lumber Liquidators, including *Balero et al. v. Lumber Liquidators, Inc.*, 3:15-cv-01005-JST (N.D.
 10 Cal.) and a number of related cases. The lawsuits allege that Lumber Liquidators sells **laminated**
 11 **wood flooring products** in the United States that emit **formaldehyde** at levels exceeding the limits set
 12 by the California Air Resources Board (“CARB”). Specifically, the claims in the lawsuits apply to
 13 laminate flooring products made with **medium density fiberboard (“MDF”)** **produced in China**.
 14 The lawsuits also allege that Lumber Liquidators falsely advertises all of its Chinese-manufactured
 15 laminated flooring products as compliant with CARB’s regulations, and that Lumber Liquidators is
 16 liable for fraud, negligence, breach of contract, breach of warranty, and other alleged violations of law.
 17 Lumber Liquidators strongly disputes these allegations, nonetheless, the law obligates Lumber
 18 Liquidators to preserve evidence that may be relevant to this litigation; therefore, in addition to
 19 requiring its agents, employees, and others under its control to preserve relevant evidence, Lumber
 20 Liquidators is also asking third parties to preserve documents in accordance with this notice.

21 The types of documents, data and tangible things (“evidence”) that Lumber Liquidators has
 22 been ordered to preserve include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 23 - Evidence related to the sourcing, manufacture, and sale of Chinese-made MDF used in
 24 laminated flooring products offered for sale by Lumber Liquidators, including financial
 25 records and documents showing the specifications and manufacturing process of such
 MDF.
- 26 - Documents and other evidence related to any tests of formaldehyde emissions from any
 27 products or components of products containing Chinese-made MDF used in laminated
 28 flooring products offered for sale by Lumber Liquidators, including communications with
 Lumber Liquidators employees or agents concerning testing of formaldehyde emissions.

- Documents related to compliance with CARB's formaldehyde emissions as it relates to MDF used in products manufactured for, distributed to, sold to, or sold by Lumber Liquidators, including any related communications with Lumber Liquidators employees or agents. This includes, but is not limited to, documents related to the preparations made by or on behalf of Lumber Liquidators to meet the CARB formaldehyde emissions standards as those standards apply to Chinese-made laminated flooring products.
- Documents related to Lumber Liquidators' quality assurance measures, quality control measures, site inspections, or any other oversight by Lumber Liquidators, or its agents with respect to any facilities used to produce or test MDF laminated flooring products made in China.
- Documents and other evidence related to Lumber Liquidators' indoor air quality testing program. Relevant information includes, but is not limited to, communications with customers, communications with Lumber Liquidators (including its attorneys, consultants, and agents), communications with other laboratories, consultants, or entities, test results, samples, log records, and lab analyses.

The Court's Order extends to documents dating back to January 1, 2009, or earlier if the documents pertain to important issues in the lawsuits, such as Lumber Liquidators' preparation for the implementation of the CARB regulations governing formaldehyde emission levels from flooring made with MDF.

Until further notice, you, your employees, your agents, and any other individuals or entities within your control **must preserve** any and all documents, data, and tangible things in your possession, custody or control that contain information that may be relevant to the lawsuits, as described above.

"Documents, data, and tangible things" is to be interpreted broadly to include: writings; records; files; correspondence; reports; memoranda; calendars; diaries; minutes; electronic messages; voicemail; E-mail; telephone message records or logs; computer and network activity logs; hard drives; backup data; removable computer storage media such as tapes, disks, and cards; printouts; document image files; Web pages; databases; spreadsheets; software; books; ledgers; journals; orders; invoices; bills; vouchers; checks; statements; worksheets; summaries; compilations; computations; charts; diagrams; graphic presentations; drawings; films; charts; digital or chemical process photographs; video; phonographic tape; or digital recordings or transcripts thereof; drafts; jottings; and notes. Information that serves to identify, locate, or link such material, such as file inventories, file folders, indices, and metadata, is also included in this definition.

1 “Preservation” is to be interpreted broadly to accomplish the goal of maintaining the integrity
2 of all documents, data, and tangible things reasonably anticipated to be relevant to the above-
3 mentioned lawsuits. Preservation includes taking reasonable steps to prevent the partial or full
4 destruction, alteration, testing, deletion, shredding, incineration, wiping, relocation, migration, theft, or
5 mutation of such material, as well as negligent or intentional handling that would make material
6 incomplete or inaccessible. If your business practices involve the routine destruction, recycling,
7 relocation, or mutation of such materials, you must, to the extent practicable for the pendency of the
8 related cases, either halt such business processes, sequester or remove such material from the business
9 process, or arrange for the preservation of complete and accurate duplicates or copies of such material,
10 suitable for later discovery if requested.

11 Thank you for your cooperation.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28